

# Uniqueness property for quasiharmonic functions

S.A.Imomkulov and Z. Sh. Ibragimov

**Abstract.** In this paper we consider class of continuous functions, called quasiharmonic functions, admitting best approximations by harmonic polynomials. In this class we prove a uniqueness theorem by analogy with the analytic functions.

**Key words.** Harmonic polynomials, quasiharmonic functions, polynomial approximations,  $N$  – sets,  $H$ –regular compacts.

Let  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a compact set and  $f(x) \in C(K)$ . We denote by

$$l_m(f, K) = \inf_{\{q_m\}} \|f(x) - q_m(x)\|_\infty$$

the least deviation of the function  $f$  on  $K$  from harmonic polynomials of degree  $\leq m$ . In paper [1] Zahariuta proved analogue of Bernshtein theorem in the class of harmonic functions. That is, if

$$\overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} l_m^{1/m}(f, K) < 1 \quad (1)$$

then the function  $f$  can be harmonically extended to some neighborhood of the compact  $K$ . Conversely, if the function  $f$  harmonically extends to some neighbourhood of the compact  $K$ , then inequality (1) holds.

We denote by  $qh(K)$  the class of functions  $f(x)$  such that

$$\underline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} l_m^{1/m}(f, K) < 1.$$

The class  $qh(K)$  is called the class of quasiharmonic functions.

**Main Theorem.** Let  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a  $H$ –regular compact and  $f \in qh(K)$ . If the zero set  $E = \{x \in K : f(x) = 0\}$  of the function  $f$  is not an  $N$ –set, then  $f(x) \equiv 0$  on  $K$ .

We note that in the case of quasianalytic functions theorems analogous to our main theorem were proved in papers [2-5].

**The class of functions  $Lh_0(D)$**  (see [8]). Let  $D$  be a domain from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $h(D)$  be the space of harmonic functions in  $D$ . We denote by  $Lh_\varepsilon(D)$  – the minimal class of functions, which contains all the functions of the form  $\alpha \ln |u(x)|$ ,  $u(x) \in h(D)$ ,  $0 < \alpha < \varepsilon$ , and closed under the operation of “upper regulariation”, i.e., for any family of functions  $u_\lambda(x) \in Lh_\varepsilon(D)$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , the function

$$\overline{\lim}_{y \rightarrow x} (\sup \{u_\lambda(y) : \lambda \in \Lambda\})$$

also belongs to class  $Lh_\varepsilon(D)$ .

The union  $Lh_0(D) = \bigcup_{\varepsilon > 0} Lh_\varepsilon(D)$  is called the class of  $Lh_0$ -functions.

In [1] (see also [8], [9],[10]) the author defined the following extremal function: let  $E \subset\subset D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a compact set. We fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and set

$$\chi_\varepsilon(x, E, D) = \overline{\lim}_{y \rightarrow x} \sup \{ \alpha \ln |u(y)| : 0 < \alpha < \varepsilon, u \in h(D), \|u\|_E \leq 1, \|u\|_D^\alpha \leq e \}.$$

It is clear that  $\chi_\varepsilon$  is monotonically decreasing as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  and that the following limit exists

$$\chi_0(x, E, D) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \chi_\varepsilon(x, E, D).$$

Here  $\chi_0(x, E, D)$  is called  $\chi_0$ -measure of compact  $E$  relative to the domain  $D$ .

As in the case of  $P$ -measure (see [6,7]), we have either  $\chi_0(x, E, D) \equiv 1$  or  $\chi_0(x, E, D) \not\equiv 1$  in the domain  $D$ . In the first case the set  $E \subset D$  for which  $\chi_0(x, E, D) \equiv 1$  is called the set of zero  $\chi_0$ -measure, and in the second case, the set  $E \subset D$  is called the set of nonzero  $\chi_0$ -measure.

Now we provide a lemma “about two constant” for the class of quasiharmonic functions.

**Lemma 1.** (see [8],[9]). Let  $D$  be a domain from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $E \subset\subset D$  be a compact set. Then for any  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1 - \alpha)$  and for any compact  $K \subset\subset D_\alpha$  there exists a positive constant  $C = C(\alpha, \varepsilon, K, D)$  such that for all harmonic functions  $u(x)$  in  $D$  the following inequality holds:

$$\|u\|_K \leq C \|u\|_E^{1-\alpha-\varepsilon} \|u\|_D^{\alpha+\varepsilon}, \quad (2)$$

where  $D_\alpha = \{x \in D : \chi_0(x, E, D) < \alpha\}$ .

This lemma is an analogue of the theorem “about two constants” for the class of holomorphic functions (see for example [6,7]) and plays an important role in the theory of harmonic functions.

We note that from inequality (2) it follows that if  $\chi_0(x, E, D) \not\equiv 1$ , then  $E$  is the uniqueness set for the class of harmonic functions in  $D$ .

**Definition 1** (see [10], [11]). A compact set  $E \subset R^n$  is called  $H$ -regular at a point  $x^0$ , if for any number  $b > 1$  there exist numbers  $M > 0$  and  $r > 0$  such that for any harmonic polynomial  $P(x)$  the following inequality holds:

$$\|P\|_{B(x^0, r)} \leq Mb^{\deg P} \|P(x)\|_{E \cap B(x^0, r)},$$

where  $B(x^0, r) = \{x \in R^n : |x - x^0| < r\}$ .

If the compact  $H$  is regular at each of its point, then it is called  $H$ -regular compact.

In [10] it is proved that if a compact  $E$  is  $H$ -regular at a point  $x^0 \in E$ , then for any neighbourhood  $\Omega \supset \supset E$  we have

$$\chi(x^0, E, \Omega) = 0.$$

**The  $N$ -sets in  $R^n$**  (see [8]). Let  $\vartheta_k(x) \in Lh_0(D)$  be a monotonically increasing sequence of functions that are locally uniformly bounded from above. Consider the limit

$$\overline{\lim}_{y \rightarrow x} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \vartheta_k(y) = \vartheta(x), \quad x \in D.$$

Then everywhere in  $D$  we have the inequality

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \vartheta_k(x) \leq \vartheta(x).$$

**Definition 2.** A set  $E \subset R^n$  is called an  $N$ -set if for some open set  $D \supset E$  there exists a monotonically increasing sequence of functions  $\vartheta_k(x) \in Lh_0(D)$  locally uniformly bounded from above and such that the set  $E$  is a subset of a set of type

$$\{x \in D : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \vartheta_k(x) < \vartheta(x)\},$$

where  $\vartheta(x) = \overline{\lim}_{y \rightarrow x} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \vartheta_k(y)$ ,  $x \in D$ .

**Proposition 1** [8]. If  $\vartheta_k(x) \in Lh_0(D)$  is sequence of functions locally uniformly bounded from above and

$$\overline{\lim}_{y \rightarrow x} \overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \vartheta_k(y) = \vartheta(x), \quad x \in D,$$

then the set

$$E = \{x \in D : \overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \vartheta_k(x) < \vartheta(x)\}$$

consists of a countable union of  $N$  - sets.

Indeed, consider the sequence of functions

$$w_{l,j}(x) = \max_{l \leq k \leq j} \vartheta_k(x).$$

Clearly,  $\overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \vartheta_k(x) = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} w_{l,j}(x)$ . Since the sequence is monotonically increasing in  $j$ , we have  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} w_{l,j}(x) \leq \overline{\lim}_{y \rightarrow x} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} w_{l,j}(y)$ ,  $x \in D$  and the sets

$$E_l = \left\{ x \in D : \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} w_{l,j}(x) < \overline{\lim}_{y \rightarrow x} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} w_{l,j}(y) \right\}, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots,$$

are  $N$ - sets. On the other hand, the sequenses

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} w_{l,j}(x) \quad \overline{\lim}_{y \rightarrow x} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} w_{l,j}(y), \quad l = 1, 2, \dots,$$

are monotonically decreasing and

$$\overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \vartheta_k(x) = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} w_{l,j}(x) = \vartheta(x) = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\lim}_{y \rightarrow x} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} w_{l,j}(y),$$

$$x \in D \setminus \bigcup_{l=1}^{\infty} E_l.$$

It follows that

$$E \subset \bigcup_{l=1}^{\infty} E_l, \text{ i.e., } E = \bigcup_{l=1}^{\infty} (E_l \cap E).$$

**Definition 3.** A set  $E \subset D$  is called  $Lh_0$  - polar relative to the domain  $D$  if there ecists a function  $\vartheta(x) \in Lh_0(D)$  such that  $\vartheta(x) \not\equiv -\infty$  and  $\vartheta(x)|_E = -\infty$ .

We note that if  $u(x) \in h(D)$ ,  $u(x) \not\equiv 0$  and  $E \subset \{u(x) = 0\}$ , then  $E$  is  $Lh_0$ - polar relative to domain  $D$ .

**Proposition 2** (see [8]). Every  $Lh_0$ - polar set relative to domain  $D$  is contained in a countable union of  $N$ - sets.

Indeed, let  $E$  be an  $Lh_0$ - polar set relative to domain  $D$ . Then by definition there exists a function  $\vartheta(x) \in Lh_0(D)$  such that  $\vartheta(x) \not\equiv -\infty$ ,  $\vartheta(x)|_E = -\infty$ .

Consider a sequence of functions  $\vartheta_k(x) = \frac{1}{k}\vartheta(x)$ . Clearly,  $\vartheta_k(x) \in Lh_0(D)$  and  $\vartheta_k(x) \not\equiv -\infty$ ,  $\vartheta_k(x)|_E = -\infty$ . Moreover,  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \vartheta_k(x) = 0$  for almost all  $x \in D$  and  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \vartheta_k(x) = -\infty$  for all  $x \in E$ . It then follows that

$$E \subset \left\{ x \in D : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \vartheta_k(x) < \overline{\lim}_{y \rightarrow x} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \vartheta_k(y) \right\}.$$

On the other hand, as was shown above, the set

$$\left\{ x \in D : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \vartheta_k(x) < \overline{\lim}_{y \rightarrow x} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \vartheta_k(y) \right\}$$

consists of a countable union of  $N$  - sets.

**Proof of Main Theorem.** Let  $f(x) \in qh(K)$  and

$$E = \{x \in K : f(x) = 0\}.$$

By definition of the class  $qh(K)$ , there is a sequence of harmonic polynomials  $p_{m_k}(x)$  such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|f - p_{m_k}\|_K^{\frac{1}{m_k}} = d < 1. \quad (3)$$

Since  $f|_E = 0$ , we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|p_{m_k}\|_E^{\frac{1}{m_k}} = d < 1. \quad (4)$$

Inequalities (3) and (4) imply that starting from some number  $k_0$  for all numbers  $k \geq k_0$  following two inequalities hold:

$$\|p_{m_k}\|_K \leq 1 + \|f\|, \quad (5)$$

$$\|p_{m_k}\|_E^{\frac{1}{m_k}} < d + \varepsilon < 1, \quad 0 < \varepsilon < 1 - d, \quad (6)$$

Since  $K$  is an  $H$ -regular compact, by the definition of  $H$ -regularity, for any  $b : 1 < b < 1/d + \varepsilon$  there are positive numbers  $M$  and  $\delta$  such that for a  $\delta$ -neighbourhood  $U_\delta = \{x : \text{dist}(x, K) < \delta\}$  of the compact  $K$  we have following estimate

$$\|P_{m_k}(x)\|_{U_\delta} \leq Mb^{m_k} \|P_{m_k}(x)\|_K \quad (7)$$

On the other hand, since the set  $E$  is not an  $N$ -set, we have  $\chi_0(x, E, U_\delta) \not\equiv 1$  and using lemma 1 “about two constants” we obtain that for any  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\beta \in (0, 1 - \alpha)$ ,  $\alpha + \beta < 1/2$ , and for any open set  $U : K \subset\subset U \subset\subset U_{\delta, \alpha}$ , where  $U_{\delta, \alpha} = \{x \in U_\delta : \chi_0(x, E, U_\delta) < \alpha\}$ , there is a positive constant  $C = C(\alpha, \beta, K, U_\delta)$  such that

$$\|p_{m_k}(x)\|_U \leq C \|p_{m_k}(x)\|_E^{1-\alpha-\beta} \|p_{m_k}(x)\|_D^{\alpha+\beta}$$

Now using estimations (5), (6) and (7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|p_{m_k}(x)\|_U &\leq C(d + \varepsilon)^{m_k(1-\alpha-\beta)} \cdot M^{\alpha+\beta} (1 + \|f\|)^{\alpha+\beta} b^{m_k(\alpha+\beta)} \leq \\ &\leq L \cdot (d + \varepsilon)^{m_k(1-\alpha-\beta)} \cdot (d + \varepsilon)^{-m_k(\alpha+\beta)} = L(d + \varepsilon)^{m_k(1-2(\alpha+\beta))}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $L = CM^{\alpha+\beta}(1 + \|f\|)^{\alpha+\beta}$ .

Here  $(d + \varepsilon)^{m_k(1-2(\alpha+\beta))} \rightarrow 0$ ,  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , since  $d + \varepsilon < 1$  and  $\alpha + \beta < 1/2$ . Therefore,  $\|p_{m_k}(x)\|_U \rightarrow 0$ ,  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , i.e.,  $p_{m_k}(x)$  converges uniformly to zero in a neighbourhood of  $U \supset\supset K$ . It follows that  $f(x) \equiv 0$  on  $K$ . The proof is complete.

## REFERENCES

1. Zahariuta V.P. Inequalities for harmonic functions on spheroids and their applications// Indiana University Mathematics Journal. – USA, 2001, 50, №2
2. Bernstein S. N. Analytic functions of real variable, their origin and means of generalisation. Sochineniya, Volume 1, 285-320.
3. Gonchar A.A. Kvazianaliticheskie klassi funktsii, svyazannie s nailuchshimi priblizheniyami ratsionalnimi funktsiyami// Izv. A.N. Armenia SSR 1971.- VI, № 2-3, pp. 148-159.
4. Szmuszkowiczowna H. Un theoreme sur les polynomes et son application a la theorie des fonctions quasianalytiques// C.R.Acad. Sci. Paris. – 1934. Volume 198, pp. 1119- 1120.
5. Plesniak W. Quasianalytic functions of several complex variables// Zeszyty Nauk. Uniw. Jagiell. Volume 15 (1971), pp. 135-145.
6. Sadullaev A.S. Plyurisubgarmonicheskie funktsii// Itogi nauki i tehniki. Sovremennye problemy matematiki. Fundamentalnye napravleniya. - Moscow: VINITI, 1985.- T. 8. - pp. 65 – 111.
7. Sadullaev A.S. Plyurisubgarmonicheskie meri i emkosti na kompleksnykh mnogoobraziyakh // UMN. - 1981. - V. 36(4). - pp. 53 - 105.

8. Imomkulov S.A., Saidov Y.R. O prodolzhenii separatno-garmonicheskikh funktsiy// UzMJ. – Tashkent, 2008, №4. pp. 89 – 104.
9. Hecart Jean.-Marc. Ouverts d’harmonicit  pour les fonctions separement harmoniques// Potential analysis. – Netherland, 2000. №2, pp. 115-126.
10. Hecart Jean.-Marc. On Zahariuta’s extremal functions for harmonic functions// Vietnam. J. Math. – Springer-Verlag, 1999. Volume 27, №1, pp. 53-59.
11. Nguyen Thanh Van., Djebbar B. Proprietes asymptotiques d’une suite orthonormale de polynomes harmoniques// Bull. Soc. Math. 1989. Volume 113, pp. 239-251.

*Sevdiyor Akramovich Imomkulov*  
*Navoi State Pedagogical Institute (Uzbekistan)*  
*e-mail: sevdiyor\_i@mail.ru*

*Zafar Shavkatovich Ibragimov*  
*Urgench State University (Uzbekistan)*  
*e-mail: z.ibragim@gmail.com*